

Trainee Name: _____

Judge 1: _____ **Judge 2:** _____

Research Area: _____

Poster #:

Session:

Marking Scale (Part marks encouraged)

Poor 1	Lower than Expected 2	Met Expectations for Scholar's 3	Higher than Expected 4	Outstanding 5
-----------	-----------------------------	--	------------------------------	------------------

Appearance:					
Font size is appropriate	1	2	3	4	5
Well organized	1	2	3	4	5
Good use of graphics	1	2	3	4	5
Good use of color	1	2	3	4	5
					_____/ 20 TOTAL

Content:					
Title is clear	1	2	3	4	5
Introduction sets up study	1	2	3	4	5
Clear rationale for studies	1	2	3	4	5
Hypothesis and Objectives clearly stated	1	2	3	4	5
Methods or approaches clear	1	2	3	4	5
Study design ie: controls; replicates	1	2	3	4	5
Results presented in a systematic and logical way	1	2	3	4	5
Data analysis/results	1	2	3	4	5
Conclusions supported by results	1	2	3	4	5
Outcomes advance field of study	1	2	3	4	5
					_____/ 50 TOTAL

Presentation:					
Presentation was concise and crisp	1	2	3	4	5
Showed enthusiasm	1	2	3	4	5
Explained research clearly and concisely	1	2	3	4	5
Answered questions well	1	2	3	4	5
Overall, showed good understanding of project	1	2	3	4	5
Trainee has capacity to direct future project steps	1	2	3	4	5
					_____/ 30 TOTAL

Comments: _____
